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## Motivation: Energy Efficiency Paradox

- Consumers and businesses tend to underinvest in energy efficiency even with seemingly high returns,
- "Energy efficiency paradox" (Jaffe \& Stavins,1994)
- Present value of cost savings equals extra upfront cost only with high implicit discount rates


## Estimated Implicit Discount Rates of Durable Goods



Fig. 1. Estimates of average discount rates.

## Motivation: Possible Explanations of High Implicit Discount Rates

- External barriers
- Credit constraints
- Lack of information
- Imperfect competition
- Different incentives (e.g. landlord vs. tenant)
- Behavioral error
- Bounded rationality
- Estimates may be confounded by unobservable characteristics


## Consequences of Energy Efficiency Paradox

- Private welfare loss
- Externalities


## Current Policy Motivation

Improving energy efficiency standards

- A potentially low-cost way to reduce air pollution, $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$.


# Greenhouse Gas Abatement Costs Can Be Negative with Energy Efficiency Improvements 



## Current Policy Motivation

Improving energy efficiency standards

- Political constraints prevent favored tools (pigouvian tax or cap and trade)
- Big push on energy standards by Obama administration
- Efficiency standards of cars, trucks and home appliances
- Arguments on energy efficiency paradox remain
- A case of market failures
- Does energy efficiency paradox exist and does it matter?


## Why Do We Revisit Energy Efficiency Paradox?

Previous literature has some limitations

- Previous literature does not meet modern standards of credibility (Allcott and Greenstone[2012])
- Cross-sectional
- Unobservable characteristics
- Omitted variable biases
- Evidence is somewhat outdated
- Time period: 1980s and 1990s
- Updated energy efficiency standards
- Different electricity prices and interest rates


## Motivation: Trend of Recent Studies

- Employ panel data
- Allcott \& Wozny (2012), Sallee et. al (2009), and Busse et al. (2013)
- Address omitted variable biases by using fixed effects
- Use time series variations in interest rates and energy prices
- Focus only on automobile market


## This Paper

- Examine how conumsers value energy efficiency of appliance using the changes in interest rates and electricity prices


## Contributions

- Focus on appliance market
- Using the fact that interest rate and electricity price changes differentially affect appliances depending on energy efficiency status
- Panel study design
- First time in appliance market to study energy efficiency paradox
- Big and recent micro-level data set (i.e individual appliance model)


## Outline

1. Theory
2. Data
3. Empirical estimation strategy
4. Results
5. Conclusion

## Implications of Economics Theory

Assumptions

- Market is perfectly competitive
- Consumers have same preference for energy efficiency
- All attributes of products are same except energy efficiency rate

$$
P_{e e}=-\frac{\partial P V O C_{j}}{\partial e e_{j}}
$$

## Implications of Economics Theory

Figure: Hedonic Equilibrium and Price of Energy Efficiency


## Implications of Economics Theory

Figure: Shift of Hedonic Equilibrium


## Implications of Economics Theory

Figure: Hedonic Equilibrium with Heterogenous Consumers


## Identification Strategy of Empirical Study

- Using relative PVOC changes, purely driven by fluctuations of interest rates and electricity prices, of more versus less energy efficient products.
- Estimate how the relative price changes are associated with the relative PVOC changes depending on energy efficiency status.


## Data

- Point-of-sale data
- NPD Group
- Monthly revenues and sales by appliance models
- Refrigerator, clothes washer, dishwasher, room air conditioner(AC)
- Characteristics
- Price=monthly revenue/number of sale
- Jan 2003 - Dec 2011
- Federal Trade Commission(FTC)
- Annual energy consumption
- Energy efficiency rate
- Seasonally adjusted average electricity prices(national)
- Risk-free market interest rates


## Trends of Interest Rate and Electricity Price



## Present Valued Operating $\operatorname{Cost}(P V O C)$

$$
P V O C_{j t}=\sum_{y=0}^{Y} E C_{j} \times E P_{t} \times\left(1+r_{t}\right)^{-(y+0.5)}
$$

- $E C_{j}$ : annual electricity consumption(kwh)
- $E P_{t}$ : electricity prices(dollar/kwh)
- $r_{t}$ : discount rates
- $y$ : the number of years to use
- $Y$ : maximum life-cycle of appliance(15 years)


## Summary Statistics(Clothes Washer)

|  |  | Without Weight |  | Weighted by Sales |  | Within Model | Number of |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Mean | SD | Mean | SD | SD | Obs. |
| Real Price (\$) | Estar | 741.43 | 276.06 | 719.94 | 233.23 | 149.37 | 11,586 |
|  | Non Estar | 449.92 | 216.84 | 391.02 | 149.56 | 52.14 | 6,023 |
| PVOC (\$) | Estar | 358.68 | 170.30 | 331.01 | 141.23 | 13.26 |  |
|  | Non Estar | 742.01 | 281.54 | 720.98 | 276.48 | 23.95 |  |
| Capacity (Cu.Ft.) | Estar | 3.42 | 0.38 | 3.47 | 0.35 |  |  |
|  | Non Estar | 3.10 | 0.28 | 3.22 | 0.24 |  |  |
| Sales (Unit) | Estar | 886 | 2,008 |  |  | 1,530 |  |
|  | Non Estar | 1,128 | 2,260 |  |  | 1,499 |  |

Note: The sampled clothes washers includes Includes full-size, bigger than $2.5 \mathrm{cu} . \mathrm{ft}$., front and top load clothes washer.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Deflated to 2011 December by using consumer price index(CPI) from the Bureau Labor Statistics(BLS).
${ }^{b}$ Present Valued Operating Cost(PVOC)

## Constant Quality Index

- Construct the index shows average price or PVOC trend when the quality of products is fixed
- Show the effects of electricity prices and interest rates on appliances' prices or PVOCs


## - CQPI Equation

## Trend of CQI of PVOC(Refrigerator)



## Trend of CQI of PVOC(Clothes Washer)



## Trend of CQI of Price(Refrigerator)



## Trend of CQI of Price(Clothes Washer)



## Empirical Estimation(Prices)

$$
P_{g j t}=\beta P V O C_{g j t}+\alpha_{j}+\delta_{t g}+\varepsilon_{g j t}
$$

- $P_{g j t}$ : prices of $g$ type product $j$ at time $t$
- $\mathrm{PVOC}_{g j t}$ : present valued operating costs
- $\alpha_{j}$ : model fixed effects
- $\delta_{t g}$ : group by time fixed effects
- $\beta$ : Changes in relative appliance prices as the relative PVOC gap increases by $\$ 1$
- $\beta=-1, \beta>-1$, or $\beta<-1$


## Empirical Estimation(Sales)

$$
\log Q_{g j t}=\gamma P V O C_{g j t}+\alpha_{j}+\delta_{t g}+\varepsilon_{g j t}
$$

- $Q_{g j t}$ : monthly sales of $g$ type product $j$ at time $t$
- PVOC: function of present valued operating cost
- $\alpha_{j}$ : model fixed effect
- $\delta_{t g}$ : group by time fixed effects
- $\gamma$ : Changes in relative percentage appliance sales as the relative PVOC gap increases by $\$ 1$


## Results: Effects of PVOC on Prices and Sales

|  | Appliance |  | SE | Adj. $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ | N | Lower bound |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | PVOC |  |  |  | 95\% | 99\% |
| Panel A. Dependent Variable: Monthly Average Prices |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | Refrigerator | 3.350 | (0.557) | 0.985 | 55,362 | 2.258 | -1.994 |
| 2 | Clothes Washer | 0.564 | (0.226) | 0.977 | 17,609 | 0.121 | -0.809 |
| 3 | Dishwasher | 1.390 | (0.508) | 0.971 | 33,664 | 0.394 | -1.819 |
| 4 | Room AC | 0.012 | (0.111) | 0.99 | 7,257 | -0.206 | -0.397 |
| Panel B. Dependent Variable: Logged Monthly Sales |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | Refrigerator | -0.006 | (0.004) | 0.741 | 55,362 | -0.014 | -0.014 |
| 2 | Clothes Washer | -0.019 | (0.008) | 0.679 | 17,609 | -0.035 | -0.029 |
| 3 | Dishwasher | -0.047 | (0.007) | 0.759 | 33,664 | -0.061 | -0.025 |
| 4 | Room AC | -0.0003 | (0.030) | 0.661 | 7,257 | -0.059 | -0.107 |

Note: Robust, clustered by model, standard errors are reported in the parenthesis. All specifications include the model and time fixed effects as well as the interaction term of group and time.

## Price Changes with 10cent Electricity Price Increase

 (Refrigerator; SSA, 22-24cu.ft.)

## Price Changes with 10cent Electricity Price Increase

 (Clothes Washer, Top-load, 3.3-3.5cu.ft.)

## Price Change with 10cents Electricity Price Increase (Dishwasher; Standard, 24inches)



## Price Change with 10cents Electricity Price Increase (Room AC; 8,800BTUs)



## Results: Alternative Specifications

Table: The Results in Refrigerators

|  | Dependent Variable: <br> Price of Individual Product ${ }^{a}$ |  |  | Dependent Variable: Logged Sales of Product |  |  | Number of Obs. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\hat{\beta}$ | $\mathrm{SE}(\hat{\beta})$ | Adj. $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ | $\hat{\gamma}$ | $\mathrm{SE}(\hat{\gamma})$ | Adj. $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ |  |
| Panel A Baseline |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 Whole Sample | 3.35 | (0.557) | 0.985 | -0.006 | (0.004) | 0.741 | 55,362 |
| Panel B. Control Other Characteristics |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 Add Door Option | 3.30 | (0.558) | 0.985 | -0.006 | (0.004) | 0.741 | 55,362 |
| Panel C. Different Estar Standard ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 2003.01-2003.12 | 0.59 | (1.578) | 0.994 | 0.005 | (0.007) | 0.844 | 3,143 |
| 4 2004.01-2008.03 | 1.66 | (0.598) | 0.989 | -0.021 | (0.005) | 0.791 | 22,055 |
| 5 2008.04-2011.12 | 1.77 | (0.526) | 0.980 | -0.006 | (0.005) | 0.744 | 30,164 |
| Panel D. Depending on Door Type |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6 Side-by-side | 4.41 | (0.810) | 0.975 | -0.0003 | (0.004) | 0.723 | 34,412 |
| 7 Top Freezer | 1.47 | (0.393) | 0.965 | -0.017 | (0.005) | 0.754 | 20,950 |

Note: Robust, clustered by model, standard errors are reported in the parenthesis. All specifications include the model and time fixed effects as well as the interaction term of group and time.

## Results: Summary

With increasing PVOC gap of more vs. less energy efficient appliances,

- relative sales of energy efficient products increase.
- relative prices of more vs. less energy efficient appliances down, not up.


## Possible Explanations of the Results?

- Increasing returns to scale
- Technological Improvements



## Possible Explanations of the Results?

- More elastic demand curve of energy efficient appliances with rising energy costs



## Conclusion

- Consumers tend to respond to benefits from using energy efficient products
- Persistence of large energy efficiency gap
- Increasing economies scale and imperfect competition likely complicate the analysis of energy efficiency and standards

Appendix

## Bunching Energy Efficiency Rate(Refrigerator)



## Bunching Energy Efficiency Rate(Refrigerator)



## Constant Quality Index for PVOC

$C Q O I_{t}=$

$$
C Q O I_{t-1}+\text { CQOI }_{t-1}\left(\frac{\sum_{i} W_{i t}\left(\frac{P V O C_{i t}-P V O C_{i t-1}}{\left(P V O C_{i t}+P V O C_{i t-1} / 2\right.}\right)}{\sum_{i} W_{i t}}\right), \forall t>0
$$

where :

$$
W_{i t}=\frac{q_{i t}+q_{i t-1}}{2}, \forall i \text { that exist in } t \& t-1
$$

and:

$$
C Q O I_{0}=\frac{\sum_{i} q_{i 0} P V O C_{i 0}}{\sum_{i} q_{i 0}}
$$

## PVOC Varies Over Time and Changes Are Different Depending on Energy Efficiency Rate

Figure: PVOC Trends of Two Fixed Refrigerator Models


## Changes in PVOC Vary Depending on Energy Efficiency Rate

Figure: PVOC of Individual Models across Energy Efficiency Rate (Refrigerator)


